



Preserving America's Heritage

**ACHP COMMITTEE MEETINGS
SUMMARY OF EVENTS
National Building Museum
Washington, D.C.
November 6-7, 2019**

NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Committee Chairman Reno Franklin called the meeting to order November 6 and noted that it is Native American Heritage Month. He mentioned that Dorothy Lippert is featured in a video on the ACHP's Facebook page about the meaning of the commemoration.

ACHP-ACHP Foundation-SKC Partnership

Chairman Franklin congratulated Chairman Aimee Jorjani and the staff for entering into the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Salish Kootenai College (SKC) and the ACHP Foundation. He asked Jordan Tannenbaum to talk about the MOU. Office of Native American Affairs (ONAA) Director Valerie Hauser announced that the director of the SKC tribal preservation program will be meeting with ACHP staff on November 20 and invited members to participate to learn more about the program and consider ways in which they can help the ACHP implement its commitments in the MOU.

ACHP Chairman Visits to Indian Tribes

Chairman Jorjani talked about her visits to Indian tribes including a visit with the Lac du Flambeau Band, Suquamish Tribe, and Taos Pueblo; the meeting Chairman Franklin hosted including Indian tribes from across California and the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO); visiting ancestral lands of the Kasha Band of Pomo Indians; sacred sites in Washington and New Mexico; and, meeting with the All Pueblo Council of Governors. Chairman Jorjani noted how generous everyone was with their time and how she learned about inconsistencies tribes see in Section 106 and the need for training including training in grants writing.

Early Coordination with Indian Tribes During Pre-application Processes

The publication of the early coordination handbook was announced. Ms. Hauser explained how the staff intends to publicize the handbook and the online course, which was launched in June. Information will be sent to the preservation community, industry trade groups, congressional representatives, state and local government organizations, heads of the agencies who are members of the Federal Permitting Improvement Steering Council, and federal interagency working groups. Committee members then discussed additional ways in which the handbook could be advanced:

- Develop a set of talking points so partners can share the handbook and course and can talk about it
- Send the handbook to state Indian commissions, getting it to state agencies, small utility companies, and to academic organizations like the Society for American Archaeology and American Anthropological Association
- Ask trade organizations to include articles in their publications

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

401 F Street NW, Suite 308 • Washington, DC 20001-2637
Phone: 202-517-0200 • Fax: 202-517-6381 • achp@achp.gov • www.achp.gov

- Ask agencies and organizations to share information about it and their views in social media posts
- Look for other venues to make presentations, such as the annual meeting of the Transportation Research Board.

ONAA's Training Program

Chairman Franklin and ACHP staff member Bill Dancing Feather discussed the success of the Early Coordination online course that was launched in June. To date, almost 300 participants have taken the course, and one third of those have been federal agency staff.

The discussion then turned to other training ONAA is developing and has delivered. In response to the commitment the ACHP made to the Office of Hawaiian Affairs in March 2018, Mr. Dancing Feather has worked with the Department of the Interior's Office of Native Hawaiian Relations on an online course for Native Hawaiian organizations (NHOs). They are planning to be finished in January in order to offer a face-to-face version of it in Hawaii next year.

Additionally, ONAA, with Office of Federal Agency Programs (OFAP) staff, has been offering in-person Section 106 basics training for Indian tribes in Oklahoma, Montana, and Nevada and is planning to offer another one in New Mexico in December. Staff will convert this material to an online platform, so the information is available to any tribal staff who want it, since staff cannot meet the demand for in-person training. It will also free staff to work on topic-specific training. Committee members were asked to make recommendations for such training and suggested the following:

- Best practices in consultation
- The intersection of land rights and historic preservation
- Agreement documents
- A general purpose segment on the ACHP's mandate, the role of the ACHP in reviews, and when to contact the ACHP
- Tribal consultation in emergency relief projects

Indigenous Knowledge Initiative

Chairman Franklin reminded the committee that during the September conference call, he suggested that the ACHP invite a panel of tribal spiritual leaders to talk with the members during a business meeting. He would like to see that happen in the future because it is important to hear from traditional leaders.

Ms. Hauser provided an update on the staff effort to develop an information paper. The draft paper and notes from the traditional knowledge side event at the United Nations was sent to tribal contacts for review on October 1. So far, the comments have been positive. Staff plans to host a series of teleconferences with tribal representatives to talk about the content. Staff will also work with intertribal organizations to offer in-person discussions about the paper. Chairman Franklin then talked about the importance of ensuring that the paper not say anything offensive or disrespectful to Native peoples. He also talked about his responsibility as a Kashia man to thank everyone who contributes to it with a gift to fulfill the Kashia tradition of reciprocity.

America 250

Ms. Hauser explained that the America 250 initiative will be on the business meeting agenda, and the committee has the opportunity to offer recommendations regarding how the US Semiquincentennial Commission involves indigenous peoples in the commemoration and how it includes them in the stories that come out of this initiative. Chairman Jorjani explained that the Commission has established four task forces, and one of them is focused on historic preservation. She also explained that the Commission is responsible for sending a report to the President at the end of the year and has asked the ACHP for two pages of recommendations. The committee then discussed possible recommendations to offer:

- An exhibit and/or curriculum materials about treaties and explain that they continue to be the supreme law of the land. Talk about what contact meant to the people who were already here and how the relationship developed through history up until today with the government-to-government relationship that exists between the US and Indian tribes.
- Information about what was happening to indigenous peoples on the East Coast which should include a full discussion of what was happening in the rest of the US with indigenous and other kinds of communities.
- Cultural landscapes should be factored in as well as what archaeology can tell us.

Chairman Franklin will advance these recommendations in the business meeting.

Updates

National Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers President Shasta Gaughen announced that the annual meeting will be hosted by the Coushatta Tribe in Louisiana the week of May 11, 2020.

FEDERAL AGENCY PROGRAMS COMMITTEE

Committee Chairman Jordan Tannenbaum called the meeting to order on November 6.

Staffing and Recruitment Update

OFAP Director Reid Nelson introduced Ana Perez, who will join the Federal Property Management Section next week as a liaison to the US Forest Service assisting in the development of program alternatives. He mentioned that hiring is occurring for a National Park Service (NPS) liaison position. Finally, Kristen Bastis, who has served as liaison to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), is leaving the ACHP this month.

Program Alternatives Under Development

Chairman Tannenbaum noted the large number of program alternatives currently under development and explained that the next report was intended to bring the committee up-to-date so that all understand what proposals underway are about. Mr. Nelson said federal agencies have strongly embraced the ACHP's advice about the usefulness of program alternatives. OFAP now has four Program Comments and three nationwide Programmatic Agreements (PAs) in various stages of development. Program Comments tend to demand the most time of members since they require a vote of the membership for adoption.

OFAP Assistant Director Tom McCulloch described a Bureau of Reclamation proposal to develop a Program Comment for water distribution infrastructure. There is interest in irrigation facilities and other water infrastructure as key historic features in the history of the West. They now serve many needs in a changing landscape that is more urbanized. Reclamation seeks uniform strategies for managing irrigation infrastructure, a strategy of interest to other federal agencies including NRCS, Farm Service Agency, USDA Rural Development, Bonneville Power Administration, Western Area Power Administration, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and US Army Corps of Engineers. Formal request of a Program Comment is anticipated in the last quarter of FY 2020.

David Guldenzopf gave a presentation on the Army's plan to request a Program Comment for interwar-era military housing, which could help the Army manage its housing crisis. Installation-specific PAs have not fully succeeded in addressing the challenges of needed maintenance and repairs in the context of mostly privatized housing. Standardized plans for Army housing of the interwar period in Spanish or Georgian Colonial styles lend themselves to a modeling approach similar to the Capehart-Wherry housing Program Comment. A variety of potential approaches to be considered for the Program Comment along with a preparation schedule were described. Stakeholder consultation has already begun and will continue through June 2020, and a public comment period is currently open. As the Air Force was part of the Army

during this period, houses on certain Air Force bases might be appropriately considered for inclusion in the Program Comment. The Army hopes to finalize a Program Comment by fall 2020.

Jeff Durbin spoke to NPS's interest in considering one or more program alternatives for addressing deferred maintenance, transportation, and other infrastructure issues in the parks. NPS is supporting an ACHP liaison, who will help develop the program alternative and coordinate the development of tools beyond the streamlining provisions of the NPS's nationwide PA for large-scale projects.

The US Forest Service (USFS) is contemplating a Program Comment to assist in decommissioning excess infrastructure where it lacks funds to maintain facilities. USFS staff began work with the ACHP staff in January 2019, and the agency is currently reaching out to stakeholders to discuss potential approaches. Jorie Clark (USFS) explained that the facilities date from c.1933 to 1980 and include administration, recreation, research, fire suppression, and other structures. The USFS seeks to expedite determinations of eligibility for these properties, achieve greater internal consistency, and standardize mitigation options. Many of the structures were built according to standardized plans.

Mr. Nelson mentioned the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) request for a Program Comment on twilight towers as described to the committee at its July 2019 meeting. There has been no further communication from FCC to the ACHP on plans to pursue that Program Comment.

Member discussion followed with comments and questions about the specific Program Comments described, prompting clarification that USFS buildings or structures to be included in a Program Comment are expected to be demolished or conveyed out of agency ownership. Luis Hoyos expressed curiosity about how USFS and NPS will categorize standard building products given wide regional variants and unforeseen conditions. Katherine Slick urged the ACHP to think expansively about open irrigation canals and how enclosure might achieve irrigation purposes but changes the cultural landscape. Ms. Gaughen thanked the Army for reaching out to Indian tribes in its stakeholder involvement efforts rather than presuming tribes would not have an interest in work that is mostly rehabilitation of existing structures. She noted the importance of tribal consultation on program alternatives.

Dr. McCulloch proceeded to review the subjects of three nationwide Programmatic Agreements that are also under development. Two are for the USFS on phasing Section 106 reviews to better align with National Environmental Policy Act procedures and standard approaches to routine actions. Another nationwide PA is in development with the Department of the Navy on the modernization of naval shipyards.

Mr. Nelson referred to previous discussion with committee members about convening a member panel to provide feedback to staff on the development of Program Comments. That idea was proposed to offer better structure to member engagement on these initiatives, but it does not provide much flexibility to accommodate the variations in interest that are likely given the diversity of Program Comment subjects. Rather than a static panel, Mr. Nelson suggested establishing key points for communication with all members to keep them apprised of progress in developing Program Comments, including a statement of the preservation challenge and a concept plan to address it, and at the point an outline is available. Executive Director John Fowler added that another point to inform members would be when a draft Program Comment is ready but before the 45-day formal ACHP review begins.

Members discussed the benefit of preparation timetables and the value of clear points of interaction with staff as an improvement in the transparency of the Program Comment development process. Making comprehensive information available through a dedicated section of the website or other online tool would further help members' ability to stay current with information about each of the program alternatives under development.

Digital Information Task Force

Chairman Tannenbaum noted the Digital Information Task Force met the previous week to discuss the formulation of recommendations. The Task Force focuses on how the availability of digital and geospatial information about historic properties can be improved to inform federal project planning. Task Force members used the discussion paper in the meeting books as a departure point. A key consideration for developing a final action plan is assigning responsibilities clearly.

Blythe Semmer summarized the Task Force's discussion. The importance of including local examples in studies of efficiencies and in summaries of GIS funding streams was highlighted. Task Force members also called for a parallel data gathering effort into the state of federal agency cultural resources datasets similar to what is known about SHPO systems. Regarding resources, budget request recommendations should look to 2021 and beyond. While corporate or industry funding opportunities present an unknown, they should be explored. On the topic of data sharing, the Task Force noted the need to get a sense from NPS of the release of the cultural resources data transfer standard and what the ACHP can do to help bring it to release. The recent meeting of the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers (NCSHPO) Technology and Survey Strategies Committee represents a step toward knowledge-sharing to reduce data management impediments to the point that formation of a wider community of practice on this topic is less important.

Finally, the Task Force acknowledged its deliberations so far had not benefitted from enough input from Tribal Historic Preservation Offices/Indian tribes about the fifth issue area regarding managing sensitive information. Solutions suitable for the protection of some types of sites may not be suitable for sites of significance to Indian tribes and NHOs, and this difference in approach should be clearly noted in the Task Force's action plan or final report. Recommendations might include reference to the recent report of a subcommittee of the National Geospatial Advisory Committee on cultural resources geospatial information and/or an action item to work further with tribes to develop separate principles or best practices on data protections for tribal resources.

Chairman Tannenbaum requested input from the committee on the short- and long-term action proposals. Tom Cassidy (NTHP) said that good examples of GIS database use are available, including BLM's data sharing partnerships and Virginia's integration of cultural and natural resource layers in a state system. The members proceeded to discuss each of the issues in the discussion paper in turn.

On the topic of (#1) building awareness about the importance of digital cultural resources information, Dan Jiron (USDA) commented on the security issues for disclosing traditional cultural site locations. Ms. Slick suggested looking at best practices from tribes with sophisticated data management programs for examples. Maureen Sullivan (DoD) observed that sensitive information extends to the security of certain federal facilities. There was consensus that conveying the importance of and capturing the benefits from digital information needs to be prioritized. Mr. Fowler suggested looking at analogs in how agencies manage other types of data as a source of best practices for cultural resources information.

Regarding funding and resource enhancements (#2), discussion concerned how quickly data management and security change and the need for future planning regarding what agencies anticipate. Partnerships with private industry were discussed, including as a source of research relationships that can help federal agencies overall with resources of other kinds.

Committee members did not offer comments contrasting with the Task Force's main points on data sharing (#3) and overcoming impediments to data management (#4), the latter of which is a lower priority for a final action plan. Finally, the committee members asked about whether strategies to obscure specific locations of natural resources might be usefully applied to cultural resources data, and whether technology might be used in different ways to facilitate consultation.

Chairman Tannenbaum concluded the discussion by saying members would have another opportunity to share final thoughts on the discussion paper or any other ideas to be integrated into a final Task Force report and action plan at the business meeting.

Leveraging Federal Historic Buildings Workgroup

Mr. Nelson explained that Chairman Jorjani has invited several federal agencies and partners to join a Leveraging Federal Historic Buildings Workgroup. He asked Dr. McCulloch and Kirsten Kulis to provide a report. Ms. Kulis and Angela McArdle are the OFAP staffers assisting the workgroup.

The workgroup's goals are to help the ACHP develop recommendations for agencies to overcome obstacles to leasing federal historic buildings to the private sector, and to provide guidance on agency reuse of and consolidation in federal historic buildings. Staff anticipates developing success stories or "best practices" because some agencies have done great things and have well established programs, while others want to learn from them.

Positive responses have been received from all prospective members: General Services Administration, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, NCSHPO, NPS, National Trust for Historic Preservation, US Postal Service, Departments of Agriculture and Veterans Affairs. A first meeting is anticipated the week of November 18. In addition to revisiting the goals, workgroup members will review various agency leasing authorities and programs and their nexus with Sections 106, 110, and 111 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). Going forward, the group will delve into 111 and 106 compliance, the ACHP's role, and allocation of 111 proceeds. Consolidation of federal tenants in federal historic buildings will be discussed later.

Updates

Mr. Nelson noted that the 2020 classroom training schedule had been posted and opened for registration. Also, the ACHP will continue offering its e-learning courses for free.

OFAP Assistant Director Jaime Loichinger gave an update on the FCC's Second Report and Order, which has been revised and republished but no longer mentions small cells not being subject to Section 106 in response to a recent court decision. FCC is notifying its applicants about the revision.

PRESERVATION INITIATIVES COMMITTEE

Committee Chairman Brad White opened the meeting on November 7 and asked Chairman Jorjani to update members on the White House Councils: Opportunity and Revitalization Council and Council on Eliminating Regulatory Barriers to Affordable Housing. She briefed the group on meetings that she has had with representatives from the Domestic Policy Council, the Department of Commerce (Economic Development Administration), the Department of the Interior, and the Council on Environmental Quality. The Opportunity and Revitalization Council is focused on maximizing how federal programs can support community revitalization, and the ACHP's lack of assistance programs (particularly grant programs) may be one reason that the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) did not accept the ACHP's request to join the Opportunity and Revitalization Council.

Mr. Cassidy reported that the National Trust had commented on recent Opportunity Zone proposed rules from the Department of the Treasury. The goal is guidelines—"guardrails"—that will help keep Opportunity Zone development from resulting in destruction rather than rehabilitation of historic properties. Mr. Cassidy also asked whether there are opportunities for digitization and mapping of information on historic properties in Opportunity Zones. Nancy Boone (HUD) noted that the new HUD designee might speak to the issue at the business meeting. Cory Kegerise (NAPC) described his experience with proactive survey efforts preventing eleventh hour crises in affordable housing projects.

Regarding the White House Council on Eliminating Regulatory Barriers to Affordable Housing, Chairman Jorjani mentioned the ACHP's 2006 policy statement on historic preservation and affordable housing and raised the question of whether it should be revisited. Mr. Fowler said preservation review of interiors and archaeology requirements were seen as impediments to affordable housing in 2006. He asked the group what are considered impediments now.

Ms. Boone noted problems with timing of preservation reviews and project schedules, especially for small projects and those using the Low-Income Tax Credit. Chairman White mentioned inconsistent application of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and unique issues with phased projects qualifying for the Historic Tax Credit. Mark Wolfe (NCSHPO) described single family housing as being under attack and noted, for example, that it is now illegal to build a single family home in Eugene, Oregon. Chairman White mentioned issues regarding creation of accessory dwelling units in basements and coach houses, and use of ground-floor commercial units for housing. Mr. Kegerise mentioned the example of Philadelphia, which has passed ordinances addressing accessory dwellings and parking waivers. Ms. Boone spoke of the need for multiple funding sources to permit units to be affordable.

Mr. Fowler noted an example recently highlighted by Washington SHPO Allyson Brooks of impediments to second-story housing in commercial areas that have little to do with historic preservation. He suggested that ACHP action regarding the policy statement would be an opportunity to highlight positive opportunities for affordable housing offered by historic preservation. Chairman White suggested engaging with Main Street America, and Ms. Slick recommended publicizing successes such as past HUD/National Trust and HUD/ACHP award winners. Chairman White indicated support for the idea of revisiting the ACHP affordable housing policy statement.

Chairman Jorjani indicated that she will seek a meeting with the National Governors Association to discuss Opportunity Zones. Ms. Sullivan recommended also reaching out to the League of Cities and the U.S. Conference of Mayors, both of which will be meeting in Washington, D.C. in the near future.

New Notable Legislation and Legislative Actions

On the budget, Mr. Cassidy reported that a top line number from congressional leadership is still lacking and will be needed for the Interior appropriation bill to be finalized in conference. Office of Preservation Initiatives Director Dru Null reported that the Chaco Cultural Heritage Area Protection Act was passed by the House, and that the Senate version of the Historic Tax Credit Growth and Opportunity Act has been introduced.

Ms. Null also briefed the group on two bills of concern. The Paving the Way for Rural Communities Act would exempt federally funded projects in rural areas (areas not a part of a metropolitan statistical area) from compliance with the NHPA, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Endangered Species Act. The Yes In My Backyard Act would require states and communities receiving Community Development Block Grant funds to report periodically on their adoption of land use policies that remove barriers to affordable housing, including reducing the impact of preservation on affordable housing production. Mr. Cassidy said the National Trust is developing strategies for addressing both bills. Mr. Kegerise indicated that the National Alliance of Preservation Commissions would be interested in talking with the National Trust further about the bills.

Robert Stanton briefed the group on the Julius Rosenwald and the Rosenwald Schools Act. (Chairman White and Mr. Tannenbaum are also involved with the initiative to promote this act.) Ms. Boone reminded the group of the HUD/ACHP award that was given for the rehabilitation of the Rosenwald Courts Apartment Complex. Chairman White asked about the status of the proposed changes to the National Register regulations. Ms. Null indicated that Congress has included language in the NPS appropriations bills calling on NPS not to move forward with the proposed revisions. Mr. Hoyos asked

for information on the American Energy First Act. Ms. Null explained that the bill would delegate much (and in some cases, all) environmental review for oil and gas drilling on federal land to the states. Ms. Sullivan noted that the bill was not moving and was unlikely to get support.

Mr. Cassidy voiced concern for reports that the BLM headquarters cultural resources team will be substantially reduced and less effectively positioned in the agency as part of BLM's realignment plans. Mr. Stanton reported that the Restore Our Parks Act continues to gain co-sponsors, but that there are disagreements in Congress regarding the bill's impact on Gulf Coast state funding from energy revenues. Mr. Wolfe expressed appreciation for the compilation of legislation in the meeting book, noting that it is very helpful.

America 250: Planning for the United States Semiquincentennial

Ms. Null briefed the group on the America 250 initiative, based on a recent meeting with Jim Campi representing the United States Semiquincentennial Commission. He indicated that the ACHP could be a member of a task force on parks and preservation that the Commission will be forming. The Commission is finalizing a report to the President by December 31. Mr. Campi offered an opportunity for the ACHP to submit recommendations to the Commission (by November 30) for consideration during the report development. Ms. Null asked for the views of the committee members on what should be in the report. Responses included the following:

- Suggest sites for commemoration, including sites addressing inclusiveness and conflict. (Mr. Hoyos)
- Partner with NPS on tailoring their external programs, particularly Teaching with Historic Places and Discover our Shared Heritage Travel Itineraries, to contribute to America 250. (Chairman Jorjani)
- Address need to recognize the history of brown and black peoples. (Ms. Gaughen)
- Stress that the preservation task force should be inclusive. (Mr. Stanton)
- Provide advice on who the Commission should be talking to regarding inclusiveness. (Chairman White)
- Note need to involve recent immigrant groups that may not immediately relate to the anniversary. (Terry Guen)
- Build upon the year 2026 also being the 60th anniversary of the NHPA. (Ms. Slick)
- Suggest potential for legacy preservation policy and program development. (Mr. Fowler)
- Suggest creation of a place-based commemorative program to mark and commemorate places throughout the country. (Mr. Hoyos)
- Revive the Preserve America Grants to help fund projects associated with America 250. (Chairman White) Mr. Cassidy noted that the Administration would need to include funds for the program in its budget in order for it to have a chance of being funded.
- Ask if the ACHP could chair the parks and preservation task force (Ms. Guen)

COMMUNICATIONS, EDUCATION, AND OUTREACH COMMITTEE

The Communications, Education, and Outreach Committee met November 7. Discussion started with the continuation of the Preservation Initiatives Committee's earlier discussion about the America 250 celebration and plans involving historic preservation. Committee Chairman Robert Stanton asked Ms. Null to comment on what the Preservation Initiatives Committee had discussed. Ms. Null said the American Battlefield Trust is the coordinator of the nationwide efforts, and they are interested in working with the ACHP. There is a report due to the White House in December that could include ACHP additions. The ACHP brings the ideas of inclusion to the table for the commemoration with its reach to Indian tribes and others. There is a task force on parks and historic preservation being formed as part of the America 250 umbrella, and members discussed the ACHP's desire to lead that task force.

Turkiya Lowe said NPS is focusing on a range of NPS units including those dealing with the American Revolution as well as the signing of the Declaration of Independence and what came after it. Mr. Jiron suggested the Department of Agriculture could focus on farming in America including the national forests and grasslands and how to incorporate that into the America 250 effort. Christine Miller suggested the Department of Education has history and civics grants that help teachers with professional development and reaching more students. Themes of the America 250 initiative could be a part of that program as well. Ms. Gaughen reminded members to tell the stories of dark parts of history and stories that have been lost in the building of the country.

Touching History

Susan Glimcher gave an overview of the building a more inclusive historic preservation program initiative including new work with Salish Kootenai College and Touching History with the White House Initiative on Historically Black Colleges and Universities. A potential partnership with the National Organization of Minority Architects is in the works, and Mr. Hoyos and former Chairman Wayne Donaldson are helping leverage relationships with different organizations. Also Touching History is considering reaching out to Hispanic Serving Institutions and others.

Trades Training

Moss Rudley from the NPS Historic Preservation Training Center in Frederick, Maryland, spoke to the committee about the preservation crafts training he is in charge of and how it is training and placing skilled workers in good jobs inside and outside the government. He is looking for credentialing capability to be able to provide that added level of professionalism to the students' resumes. There is a real need for skilled craftspeople in all sorts of trades, and those with historic preservation backgrounds and training will be sought after. Chairman Jorjani said the ACHP could help with the effort of accreditation.

Outreach

Lynne Richmond noted the ACHP social media channels will feature posts about Native American Heritage Month in November, including interviews with Dr. Lippert. She also gave an overview of the ACHP presence at the National Trust meeting in Denver and the Facebook Live interviews she conducted at the conference. Shayla Shrieves gave an overview of the latest publications produced, including new ACHP and office fact sheets and the handbook on Early Coordination with Indian Tribes for the Office of Native American Affairs. She also said the ACHP is conducting usability testing on its website now to gauge user feedback and what may need modifying on the year-old site.